

Presidential Debates

Teun A. van Dijk
September 2013

- Adler, D. G. (1988). The Constitution and Presidential Warmaking: The Enduring Debate. *Political Science Quarterly*, 103(1), 1-36.
- Alavi-Nia, M., & Jalilifar, A. (2013). We believe the Iranian nation can: The manifestation of power in Iranian televised presidential debates. *Language & Communication*, 33(1), 8-25.
- Becker, A. B., Dalrymple, K. E., Brossard, D., Scheufele, D. A., & Gunther, A. C. (2010). Getting Citizens Involved: How Controversial Policy Debates Stimulate Issue Participation during a Political Campaign. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 22(2), 181-203.
- Benoit, W. L. (2003). Topic of presidential campaign discourse and election outcome. *Western Journal of Communication*, 67 (1), 97-112.
- Benoit, W. L., Hansen, G. J., & Verser, R. M. (2003). A meta-analysis of the effects of viewing US presidential debates. *Communication Monographs*, 70(4), 335-350.
- Benoit, W. L., & Harthcock, A. (1999). Functions of the Great Debates: Acclaims, Attacks, and Defenses in the 1960 Presidential Debates. *Communication Monographs*, 66(4), 341-357.
- Benoit, W. L., McKinney, M. S., & Holbert, R. L. (2001). Beyond Learning and Persona: Extending the Scope of Presidential Debate Effects. *Communication Monographs*, 68(3), 259-273.
- Benoit, W. L., & Sheafer, T. (2006). Functional theory and political discourse: Televised debates in Israel and the United States. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 83(2), 281-297.
- Beom, K., Carlin, D. B., & Silver, M. D. (2005). The world was watching - And talking - International perspectives on the 2004 presidential debates. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 49(2), 243-264.
- Bilmes, J. (1999). Questions, Answers, and the Organization of Talk in the 1992 Vice Presidential Debate: Fundamental Considerations. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 32(3), 213-242.
- Boydston, A. E., Glazier, R. A., & Pietryka, M. T. (2013). Playing to the Crowd: Agenda Control in Presidential Debates. *Political Communication*, 30(2), 254-277.
- Carlin, D. B. (2009). *The third agenda in U.S. presidential debates. DebateWatch and viewer reactions, 1996-2004.* Westport, Conn.: Praeger.
- Carter, R. F., & Stamm, K. R. (1994). The 1992 presidential campaign and debates: A cognitive view. Special Issue: Campaign '92: Communication and the political process. *Communication Research*, 21(3), 380-395.
- Cho, J. (2009). Disentangling Media Effects From Debate Effects: the Presentation Mode of Televised Debates and Viewer Decision Making. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 86(2), 383-400.
- Cho, J., & Choy, S. P. (2011). From Podium to Living Room: Elite Debates as an Emotional Catalyst for Citizen Communicative Engagements. *Communication Research*, 38(6), 778-804.

- Clayman, S. E. (1992). Caveat Orator: Audience Disaffiliation in the 1988 Presidential Debates. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 78(1), 33-60.
- Clayman, S. E. (1995). Defining Moments, Presidential Debates, and the Dynamics of Quotability. *Journal of Communication*, 45(3), 118-146.
- Cortés Rodríguez, L. M. (2011). El plano secuencial en los debates en torno al estado de la nación: I. El discurso del presidente. *Círculo de lingüística aplicada a la comunicación*, 46, 3-50.
- Davis, M. (1995). Presidential Body Politics: Movement Analysis of Debates and Press Conferences. *Semiotica*, 106, 3-4, 205-244.
- De Cock, C., & Jeanes, E. L. (2006). Questioning consensus, cultivating conflict. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 15(1), 18-30.
- Doerfel, M. L., & Connaughton, S. L. (2009). Semantic Networks and Competition: Election Year Winners and Losers in US Televised Presidential Debates, 1960-2004. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 60(1), 201-218.
- Doerfel, M. L., & Marsh, P. S. (2003). Candidate-Issue Positioning in the Context of Presidential Debates. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 31(3), 212-237.
- Donovan, T., Tolbert, C. J., & Smith, D. A. (2008). Priming Presidential Votes by Direct Democracy. *Journal of Politics*, 70(4), 1217-1231.
- Drew, D., & Weaver, D. (1991). Voter Learning in the 1988 Presidential-Election: Did the Debates and the Media Matter. *Journalism Quarterly*, 68(1-2), 27-37.
- Fein, S., Goethals, G. R., & Kugler, M. B. (2007). Social influence on political judgments: The case of presidential debates. *Political Psychology*, 28(2), 165-192.
- Feldman, L., & Price, V. (2008). Confusion or enlightenment? How exposure to disagreement moderates the effects of political discussion and media use on candidate knowledge. *Communication Research*, 35(1), 61-87.
- Fridkin, K. L., Kenney, P. J., Gershon, S. A., Shafer, K., & Woodall, G. S. (2007). Capturing the power of a campaign event: The 2004 presidential debate in tempe. *Journal of Politics*, 69(3), 770-785.
- Fridkin, K. L., Kenney, P. J., Gershon, S. A., & Woodall, G. S. (2008). Spinning debates: The impact of the news media's coverage of the final 2004 presidential debate. *International Journal of Press-Politics*, 13(1), 29-51.
- Friedenberg, R. V. (Ed.). (1990). *Rhetorical studies of national political debates, 1960-1988*. New York: Praeger.
- García Pastor, M. D. (2001). *Pragmatics and the 2000 U.S. elections. Issues of politeness and power in political campaign debates*. Valencia: Lengua Inglesa, Universitat de València.
- Geer, J. G. (1988). The Effects of Presidential Debates on the Electorates Preferences for Candidates. *American Politics Quarterly*, 16(4), 486-501.
- Ghiglione, R. (1999). A presidential election and the banalization of the extreme right discourse / Une election présidentielle et la banalisation du discours d'extreme droite. *Psychologie Française*, 44 (2), 143-152.
- Gnisci, A. (2008). Coercive and face-threatening questions to left-wing and right-wing politicians during two Italian broadcasts: Conversational indexes of Par conditio for democracy systems. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 38(5), 1179-1210.

- Gordon, A., & Miller, J. L. (2004). Values and persuasion during the first Bush-Gore presidential debate. *Political Communication*, 21(1), 71-92.
- Gorton, W., & Diels, J. (2011). Is political talk getting smarter? An analysis of presidential debates and the Flynn effect. *Public Understanding of Science*, 20(5), 578-594.
- Holbert, R. L., & Benoit, W. L. (2009). A Theory of Political Campaign Media Connectedness. *Communication Monographs*, 76(3), 303-332.
- Holbert, R. L., & Hansen, G. J. (2008). Stepping beyond message specificity in the study of emotion as mediator and inter-emotion associations across attitude objects: Fahrenheit 9/11, anger, and debate superiority. *Media Psychology*, 11(1), 98-118.
- Holbert, R. L., LaMarre, H. L., & Landreville, K. D. (2009). Fanning the Flames of a Partisan Divide Debate Viewing, Vote Choice, and Perceptions of Vote Count Accuracy. *Communication Research*, 36(2), 155-177.
- Holbrook, T. M. (1994). The Behavioral Consequences of Vice-Presidential Debates: Does the Undercard Have Any Punch. *American Politics Quarterly*, 22(4), 469-482.
- Holbrook, T. M. (1999). Political Learning from Presidential Debates. *Political Behavior*, 21(1), 67-89.
- Hullett, C. R., Loudon, A. D., & Mitra, A. (2003). Emotion and political cognition: A test of bipolar, two-dimensional, and discrete models of emotion in predicting involvement and learning. *Communication Monographs*, 70(3), 250-263.
- Hwang, H., Gotlieb, M. R., Nah, S., & McLeod, D. M. (2007). Applying a cognitive-processing model to presidential debate effects: Postdebate news analysis and primed reflection. *Journal of Communication*, 57(1), 40-59.
- Jacoby, J., Troutman, T. R., & Whittler, T. E. (1986). Viewer miscomprehension of the 1980 presidential debate: A research note. *Political Psychology*, 7(2), 297-308.
- Jacques, W. W., & Ratzan, S. C. (1997). The Internets World-Wide-Web and Political Accountability: New Media Coverage of the 1996 Presidential Debates. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 40(8), 1226-1237.
- Jarman, J. W. (2005). Political affiliation and presidential debates - A real-time analysis of the effect of the arguments used in the presidential debates. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 49(2), 229-242.
- Jaworski, A. & Galasinski, D. (1998). The last Romantic hero: Lech Wal@8esa's image-building in TV presidential debates. *Text*, 18(4), 525-544.
- Kaid, L. L. (Ed.). (2003). *The millennium election. Communication in the 2000 campaign*. Lanham, Md.: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Kendall, K. E. (1997). Presidential Debates Through Media Eyes. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 40(8), 1193-1207.
- Kenski, K., & Jamieson, K. H. (2011). Presidential and Vice Presidential Debates in 2008: A Profile of Audience Composition. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 55(3), 307-324.
- Kenski, K., & Stroud, N. J. (2005). Who watches presidential debates? A comparative analysis of presidential debate viewing in 2000 and 2004. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 49(2), 213-228.
- Kim, C., Khang, H., & Lee, Y. (2008). Functional analysis of televised political spots and debates in Korean presidential elections, 1992-2007. *Korea Observer*, 39(2), 235-258.

- Kirk, R., & Schill, D. (2011). A Digital Agora: Citizen Participation in the 2008 Presidential Debates. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 55(3), 325-347.
- Kraus, S. (1988). *Televised Presidential debates and public policy*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Kraus, S. (1996). Winners of the First 1960 Televised Presidential Debate Between Kennedy and Nixon. *Journal of Communication*, 46(4), 78-96.
- Kuger, M. B., & Goethals, G. R. (2008). Trait-Focused Spin in Presidential Debates: Surviving the Kisses of Death. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 30(3), 219-229.
- Landreville, K. D., Holbert, R. L., & LaMarre, H. L. (2010). The Influence of Late-Night TV Comedy Viewing on Political Talk: A Moderated-Mediation Model. *International Journal of Press-Politics*, 15(4), 482-498.
- Lanoue, D. J. (1991). The Turning Point: Viewers Reactions to the 2nd 1988 Presidential Debate. *American Politics Quarterly*, 19(1), 80-95.
- Lanoue, D. J. (1992). One That Made a Difference: Cognitive Consistency, Political Knowledge, and the 1980 Presidential Debate. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 56(2), 168-184.
- Lanoue, D. J., & Schrott, P. R. (1989). Voters Reactions to Televised Presidential Debates: Measurement of the Source and Magnitude of Opinion Change. *Political Psychology*, 10, 275-285.
- Lemert, J. B. (1991). *News verdicts, the debates, and presidential campaigns*. New York: Praeger.
- Lemert, J. B., Elliott, W. R., Nestvold, K. J., & Rarick, G. R. (1983). Effects of viewing a presidential primary debate: An experiment. *Communication Research*, 10, 155-173.
- Lowry, D. T., & Abu Naser, M. (2010). From Eisenhower to Obama: Lexical Characteristics of Winning Versus Losing Presidential Campaign Commercials. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 87(3-4), 530-547.
- Marietta, M. (2009). The Absolutist Advantage: Sacred Rhetoric in Contemporary Presidential Debate. *Political Communication*, 26(4), 388-411.
- Maurer, M., & Reinemann, C. (2006). Learning versus knowing - Effects of misinformation in televised debates. *Communication Research*, 33(6), 489-506.
- McKinney, M. S. (2005). Let the people speak - The public's agenda and presidential town hall debates. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 49(2), 198-212.
- McKinney, M. S., & Chattopadhyay, S. (2007). Political engagement through debates - Young citizens' reactions to the 2004 Presidential Debates. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 50(9), 1169-1182.
- McKinney, M. S., Rill, L. A., & Watson, R. G. (2011). Who Framed Sarah Palin? Viewer Reactions to the 2008 Vice Presidential Debate. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 55(3), 212-231.
- Mellman, M. S. (1992). Negative Ads, Presidential Debates, and Positive Political Discourse. *Journal of Law and Politics*, VIII(2), 271-276.
- Meyers, M. (1996). Integrating the military: News coverage in the Washington Post, 1948. *Howard Journal of Communications*, 7 (2), 169-183.
- Morris, E., & Johnson, J. M. (2011). Strategic Maneuvering in the 2008 Presidential Debates. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 55(3), 284-306.

- Munck, G. L. (2004). Democratic politics in Latin America: New debates and research frontiers. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 7, 437-462.
- Nanov-Schwehr, K. (1988). Discourse analysis. Recurrent intonation patterns in the Kennedy/Nixon debates. Narr: Tübingen.
- Patterson, M. L., Churchill, M. E., Burger, G. K., & Powell, J. L. (1992). Verbal and nonverbal modality effects on impressions of political candidates: Analysis from the 1984 Presidential debates. *Communication Monographs*, 59(3), 231-242.
- Pelikan, J. (1986). Die NS-Vergangenheit als Tabu-Thema in Österreich. Eine qualitative, textlinguistische Analyse des Hearings zum Präsidentschaftswahlkampf. (The National Socialist Past as a Taboo Topic in Austria-A Qualitative, Text-Linguistic Analysis of a Television Debate in the Presidential Election). *Wiener Linguistische Gazette*, 38-39, 77-93.
- Philip, S. (2008). Electoral impact of televised leaders' debates on Australian federal elections. *Australian Journal of Political Science*, 43(3), 443-464.
- Powell, F. C., & Wanzenried, J. W. (1993). Perceptions of Bush, Clinton, and Perot in relation to frequency of presidential debate viewing. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 77(1), 35-41.
- Ragazzini, G., Miller, D. R., & Bayley, P. (1985). Campaign language: Language, image, myth in the U.S. presidential election 1984. Bologna: CLUEB.
- Rosenberg, W. L., & Elliott, W. R. (1987). Effect of debate exposure on evaluation of 1984 vice-presidential candidates. *Journalism Quarterly*, 64, 55-64, 262.
- Rouner, D., & Perloff, R. M. (1988). Selective Perception of Outcome of 1st 1984 Presidential Debate. *Journalism Quarterly*, 65, 141.
- Scheufele, D. A., Kirn, E., & Brossard, D. (2007). My friend's enemy - How split-screen debate coverage influences evaluation of presidential debates. *Communication Research*, 34(1), 3-24.
- Shenhav, S. R. (2009). We have a place in a long story: Empowered narratives and the construction of communities: The case of US presidential debates. *Narrative Inquiry*, 19(2), 119-218.
- Stecker, F. (2011). The podium, the pulpit, and the Republicans. How presidential candidates use religious language in American political debate. Santa Barbara, Calif.: Praeger.
- Stroud, N. J., Stephens, M., & Pye, D. (2011). The Influence of Debate Viewing Context on Political Cynicism and Strategic Interpretations. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 55(3), 270-283.
- Vancil, D. L., & Pendell, S. D. (1984). Winning presidential debates: An analysis of criteria influencing audience response. *Western Journal of Speech Communication*, 48, 62-74.
- Verschueren, J. (1979). Linguistics and social criticism. Wilrijk: Departement Germaanse, Afdeling Linguïstiek, Universitaire Instelling Antwerpen.
- Warner, B. R., Carlin, D. B., Winfrey, K., Schnoebelen, J., & Trosanovski, M. (2011). Will the "Real" Candidates for President and Vice President Please Stand Up? 2008 Pre- and Post-Debate Viewer Perceptions of Candidate Image. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 55(3), 232-252.
- Weaver, D., & Drew, D. (1995). Voter Learning in the 1992 Presidential-Election: Did the Nontraditional Media and Debates Matter. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 72(1), 7-17.

- Wicks, R. H. (2007). Does presentation style of presidential debates influence young voters' perceptions of candidates?. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 50(9), 1247-1254.
- Yawn, M., Ellsworth, K., Beatty, B., & Kahn, K. F. (1998). How a Presidential Primary Debate Changed Attitudes of Audience Members. *Political Behavior*, 20(2), 155-181.
- Zhu, J. H., Milavsky, J. R., & Biswas, R. (1994). Do televised debates affect image perception more than issue knowledge? A study of the first 1992 presidential debate. *Human Communication Research*, 20(3), 302-333.
- Zichermann, S. (2006). Bush's straight talk erases Kerry's scholarly chalk. *The U.S. presidential debate of 2004: Who won the image war?*. *Semiotica*, 162(1-4), 323-339.